

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : A23L 1/236, 2/60, 1/226	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/01253 (43) International Publication Date: 13 January 2000 (13.01.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/13466 (22) International Filing Date: 15 June 1999 (15.06.99) (30) Priority Data: 60/091,888 7 July 1998 (07.07.98) US (71) Applicant: MCNEIL SPECIALTY PRODUCTS COMPANY, division of MCNEIL-PPC, INC. [US/US]; 501 George Street, New Brunswick, NJ 08933 (US). (72) Inventors: MERKEL, Carolyn, M.; 152 Overlook Avenue, North Haledon, NJ 07508 (US). LINDLEY, Michael, G.; 17 Highway Edgumbe Park, Crowthorne RG45 6HE (GB). (74) Agents: CIAMPORCERO, Audley, A. et al.; Johnson & Johnson, One Johnson & Johnson Plaza, New Brunswick, NJ 08933 (US).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: METHOD OF IMPROVING SWEETNESS DELIVERY OF SUCRALOSE (57) Abstract This invention provides a method of improving the sweetness delivery profile of sucralose containing ingestible composition, which comprises incorporating therein 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) at a DHB: sucralose weight ratio of from about .01 % to about 100 %.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LJ	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

METHOD OF IMPROVING SWEETNESS DELIVERY OF SUCRALOSEField of the Invention

5

This invention relates to the use of 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid as a means of improving the sweetness delivery profile of the sweetener sucralose.

10 Background of the Invention

Sweeteners are known to impart a number of characteristics to food including, without limitation, odor, flavor, mouthfeel, and aftertaste. These properties, particularly flavor and aftertaste, are well known to vary over the time of tasting, such that each temporal profile is sweetener-specific (Tunaley, A., "Perceptual Characteristics of Sweeteners", Progress in Sweeteners, T.H. Grenby, Ed. Elsevier Applied Science, 1989)).

"Tastands" are eatable compounds that reduce or eliminate undesirable tastes in other eatables, and do so at concentrations below those at which their own tastes are pwerceptable. Known tastands, including 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid, have been claimed to reduce or eliminate undesirable aftertastes, particularly bitter and/or metallic, in eatables containing high-intensity sweeteners. (Kurtz, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,637,618.) For the sake of convenience, the term "DHB" is used herein, where appropriate, to mean 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid and comestible salts thereof.

Tastands have been claimed to reduce or eliminate undesirable tastes by essentially blocking the

undesirable taste interaction with the receptor site on the taste bud, without the tastand's imparting a taste of its own. This mechanism has been analogized to competitive inhibition with the binding site of the receptor(s) and/or competitive inhibition with the site(s) that influences the receptor. The tastand has been described as directly interacting with the receptor site for the undesirable taste, thereby preventing interaction of the undesirable taste with the target receptor site.

Sweeteners such as saccharin and 6-methyl-1,2,3-oxathiazin-4(3H)-one-2,2-dioxide potassium salt (acesulfame potassium) are commonly characterized as having bitter and/or metallic aftertastes. Products prepared with 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid along with these sweeteners are claimed to display reduced undesirable aftertastes. In contrast, some high-intensity sweeteners, notably sucralose (1,6-dichloro-1,6-dideoxy- β -D-fructofuranosyl-4-chloro-4-deoxy- α -D-galacto-pyranoside) and aspartame (N-L- α -aspartyl-L-phenylalanine methyl ester), display clean sweet tastes very similar to that of sugar (S.G. Wiet and G.A. Miller, Food Chemistry, 58(4):305-311 (1997)). In other words, these compounds are not characterized as having bitter or metallic aftertastes.

Still, high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose and aspartame are reported to have sweetness delivery problems, i.e., delayed onset and lingering of sweetness (S.G. Wiet, et al., J. Food Sci., 58(3):599-602, 666 (1993)). These phenomena arise via mechanisms which are biochemically distinct from those responsible for generating bitter or metallic aftertastes in

WO 00/01253

PCT/US99/13466

response to certain other sweeteners (C.-K. Lee,
Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry,
45:199-351 (1987)).

Summary of the Invention

5 This invention provides a method of improving the
sweetness delivery profile of a sucralose-containing
ingestible composition, which comprises incorporating
therein DHB at a DHB:sucralose weight ratio of from
about .01% to about 100%.

10

Brief Description of the Figures

- Figure 1 shows the time-intensity curves for solutions of sucralose alone (Sample 1) and sucralose with added 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (Sample 2). The data in Table 1 ("STIME") in the Examples below correlate with the curves shown in this Figure. The curve parameters, as shown in the Table, are defined as follows. I_{\max} : maximum intensity recorded. T_{\max} : first time that maximum intensity was recorded. T_{end} : last time that a non-zero intensity was recorded. T_{dec50} : last time that an intensity greater than 50% of I_{\max} was recorded (i.e. the time at which the intensity had decreased to 50% of I_{\max}). T_{dec10} : same as for T_{dec50} , but at 10% of I_{\max} . Area: area under the curve. T_{decline} : time interval from T_{\max} to T_{dec10} . The experiments giving rise to the data in this Figure and Table 1 are described in Example 1 below.
- Figure 2 shows the time-intensity curves for solutions of aspartame alone (Sample 1) and aspartame with added 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid added (Sample 2). The data in Table 2 ("ATIME") in the Examples below correlate with the curves shown in this Figure. These curve parameters, as shown in the Table, are defined as per those in Figure 1. The experiments giving rise to the data in this Figure are described in Example 1 below.

Detailed Description of the Invention

It was discovered in this invention that 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid alters the rate at which
5 sucralose interacts with "desirable" sweet taste receptor sites (i.e. sites transmitting the perception of sweetness). This finding is surprising, since the rate at which a sweet molecule binds to such desirable sites would not be expected to be altered by the
10 presence of a tastand known to interact with an "undesirable" taste receptor site.

More specifically, since sucralose lacks any significant aftertaste, 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid would
15 not have been expected to affect the perception of its sweetness. It was found, however, that 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid significantly reduces the length of time during which sucralose sweetness is perceived. It was also found that 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid does
20 not alter the sweetness delivery profile of aspartame, even though aspartame and sucralose share properties such as an absence of bitter and metallic aftertastes (see Examples below).

25 Accordingly, this invention provides a method of improving the sweetness delivery profile of a sucralose-containing ingestible composition, which comprises incorporating therein DHB at a DHB:sucralose weight ratio of from about .01% to about 100%. As used
30 herein, "sucralose" shall mean 1,6-dichloro-1,6-dideoxy- β -D-fructofuranosyl-4-chloro-4-deoxy- α -D-galactopyranoside. DHB, defined above to mean 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid and comestible salts thereof, is recognized by the Flavor and Extract Manufacturer's

Association as safe for consumption. Comestible grade DHB is available, for example, from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI). Comestible salts of 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid are preferred for use in this invention.

The "sweetness delivery profile" of sucralose, and therefore a sucralose-containing composition, includes both the time period preceding sweetness onset ("onset period"), and the time period during which sweetness lingers ("lingering period"). Reduction in length of either period improves the sweetness delivery profile of a sucralose-containing composition. Thus, in one embodiment of the invention, the improvement comprises reducing the onset period. In another embodiment, the improvement comprises reducing the lingering period. In the preferred embodiment, the improvement comprises reducing both the onset period and the lingering period.

20

DHB-induced shortening of sweetness onset and lingering periods is measured using an aqueous solution containing only sucralose. This solution constitutes a simple taste system, in that it triggers only sweetness receptors. In more complex sucralose-containing taste systems (e.g., an apple bar) that additionally trigger bitterness, sourness and/or salty receptors, DHB still reduces sweetness onset and lingering periods. However, in such complex systems, this DHB-induced reduction may not be perceived as such. Rather, as shown in the Examples below, this reduction may be perceived quite generally as an increase in overall "liking" of the sucralose-containing composition.

The ingestible composition whose sweetness delivery profile is improved can contain any sweetening amount of sucralose. Typically, this amount ranges from about .002% to about 10% by total weight of the ingestible composition. However, this amount can vary greatly depending on the nature of the composition being sweetened.

The amount of DHB added to the ingestible composition can be measured in relation to the amount of sucralose present in the composition. In one embodiment, the DHB:sucralose weight ratio is from about .1% to about 50%. In the preferred embodiment, the DHB:sucralose weight ratio is from about 2% to about 10%.

The DHB:sucralose ratio ranges in this invention were determined based on the preferred levels of DHB, and the sucralose levels generally used in ingestible compositions. At the lowest sucralose level (about 50 ppm) and highest DHB level (about 50 ppm) envisioned in this invention, the DHB:sucralose ratio is about 1:1, i.e. about 100%. At the highest sucralose level (as seen in sucralose concentrates and syrups), and lowest DHB level envisioned in this invention, the DHB:sucralose ratio is about 1:10,000, i.e. about .01%. Hence, the minimum and maximum DHB:sucralose ratios provided in this invention are about .01% and 100%, respectively.

"Incorporating" DHB into the sucralose-containing composition can be performed at any stage of the composition's production. In one embodiment, the incorporating occurs by combining the DHB with

sucralose, and then adding the resulting mixture to other components of the composition. In another embodiment, DHB is added to other components of the composition, either before or after sucralose is added.

5 The methods that can be used for incorporating DHB into sucralose-containing compositions are routine in the art, and are exemplified in the Examples below.

As used herein, the term "ingestible composition"

10 means any substance intended for oral consumption either alone or together with another substance. The ingestible composition can be intended for consumption by a human, or other animal such as livestock or domestic animal.

15

In one embodiment, the ingestible composition is a solid or semi-solid food product. Solid and semi-solid food products include, but are in no way limited to, baked goods, confections, chewing gum, frostings, non-

20 dairy toppings, gelatins, jams, processed fruit, ice milk, yogurt, breakfast cereals and snack foods. In another embodiment, the ingestible composition is a beverage. Beverages include, but are in no way limited to, fruit juices, soft drinks, tea, coffee, beverage

25 mixes, milk drinks and alcoholic beverages.

In a further embodiment, the ingestible composition is a sweetener. As used herein, the term "sweetener" means a composition which is not intended

30 for oral consumption by itself, but rather is intended for consumption together with the substance being sweetened or made sweeter. Sweeteners are typically granular in form, but can be in any other suitable form such as powder, liquid or syrup. In one embodiment,

the sweetener consists essentially of sucralose. More commonly, however, the sweetener consists essentially of sucralose and a carrier such as dextrose, lactose, maltodextrin or water. One example of this is the
5 sweetener SPLENDA®.

The embodiments of the ingestible composition can optionally contain additional agents. For example, the ingestible composition can contain carriers such as
10 starch, lactose and sucrose itself; bulking agents such as maltodextrins; and adjuvants such as stabilizing agents, coloring agents and viscosity-adjusting agents.

Finally, the ingestible composition can be a
15 pharmaceutical composition. Examples of pharmaceutical compositions include, by way of example, chewable tablets, elixirs and syrups.

Pharmaceutical compositions typically contain one
20 or more pharmaceutical carriers in addition to the active ingredients. Pharmaceutically acceptable carriers are well known in the art and include, without limitation, phosphate buffers and saline solutions. Additionally, such carriers may be aqueous or non-
25 aqueous solutions, suspensions, solids, compressed solids, and emulsions. Examples of non-aqueous solvents are propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, vegetable oils such as olive oil, and injectable organic esters such as ethyl oleate. Aqueous carriers include, for
30 example, water, alcoholic/aqueous solutions, emulsions and suspensions, including saline and buffered media. Solid carriers include, for example, lactose, cellulose and maltodextrins. Preservatives and other additives may also be present, such as, for example,

antimicrobials, antioxidants, chelating agents, inert gases, flavoring and coloring agents and the like.

This invention will be better understood by
5 reference to the Examples which follow, but those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that these Examples are only illustrative of the invention as described more fully in the claims which follow thereafter. Additionally, throughout this application,
10 various publications are cited. The disclosure of these publications is hereby incorporated by reference into this application to describe more fully the state of the art to which this invention pertains.

Examples

In each of the following Examples, unless otherwise indicated, at least 55 panelists were used to evaluate the samples. Two statistical tests were used to determine if the addition of DHB significantly alters the various taste perceptions being studied. The first, analysis of variance (also known as "ANOVA"), was used here to determine whether two data sets (i.e., with and without DHB) differ at the 95% confidence level, once any variance between individual tasters is taken into account. The second, Tukey's HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) test, was also used here to determine whether data sets differ at the 95% confidence level. More specifically, Tukey's HSD test takes into account the mean square errors which have been determined by the ANOVA test. Both the ANOVA and Tukey's HSD tests are routinely used in the art.

20 Example 1 Sweetness Properties of Sucralose/DHB Solutions


A panel of six trained evaluators determined equally sweet levels of sucralose, sucralose + DHB, aspartame, and aspartame + DHB, all in bottled water. These equally sweet levels were found to be 200 ppm sucralose, 190 ppm sucralose + 15 ppm DHB, 550 ppm aspartame, and 535 ppm aspartame + 15 ppm DHB. Previous evaluations had shown 15 ppm DHB as optimal for taste alteration in sucralose products. Greater than 50 ppm DHB, in pure water solutions, has a slightly sweet taste and contributes to the total taste of the solution.

For sweetness time-intensity studies, room temperature equally sweet solutions were presented to 12 trained panelists. The panelists had been screened for general sensory acuity and trained in general methods for sweetener assessment as well as time-intensity methods. Training sessions were carried out so that all panelists were conversant with the method as well as the computerized data entry system.

For each evaluation, each panelist took 10 mls of sweetener solution in his mouth and activated the computer timing system. Using a computer mouse, the panelist rated the sweetness intensity on a line scale (ranging from "none" to "extreme") while slowly moving the sample around in his mouth. After 10 seconds, the panelist swallowed the sample and continued to rate for sweetness. Computer timing stopped after a total of 180 seconds.

In each session, panelists received two coded samples, with at least 10 minutes rest and plain cracker and water rinse between samples. Panelists rested for at least 30 minutes between sessions. No more than 3 sessions were allowed on one day. All samples were designated with a random 3-digit code, and sample presentation order was randomized and balanced across panelists.

The data collected by the computer were read every second, for a total of 180 data points per tasting. Mean panelist response was calculated for each time data point. Analysis of variance was carried out to determine the differences between samples. The data



WO 00/01253

PCT/US99/13466

from these tests are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1
(sucralose-related), and Figure 2 and Table 2
(aspartame-related).

Table 1
Data Set: STIME (Sucralose)

5	Parameter	1	Sample 2	SE of Means	5% L.S.D.	t prob (2 - tail)
10	I _{max}	67.65	64.32	1.13	3.51	0.030+
	T _{max}	20.13	17.93	1.09	3.39	0.090x
	T _{end}	99.68	89.63	1.74	5.43	0.001+
	T _{dec50}	42.67	38.35	1.87	5.82	0.066x
	T _{dec10}	73.37	62.23	1.87	5.83	0.001+
15	Area	2435.53	2196.75	76.32	237.56	0.025+
	T _{decline}	53.23	44.30	2.23	6.93	0.008+
Correlation Matrix (d.f. = 117)						
20	I _{max}	1.00				
	T _{max}	-0.21	1.00			
	T _{end}	-0.01	0.09	1.00		
	T _{dec50}	-0.16	0.67	0.32	1.00	
	T _{dec10}	-0.17	0.29	0.67	0.60	1.00
25	Area	0.41	0.23	0.55	0.52	0.60
	T _{decline}	-0.09	-0.10	0.66	0.36	0.92
						1.00
		I _{max}	T _{max}	T _{end}	T _{dec50}	T _{dec10}
						Area
						T _{decline}

30 + = significant at 95%
x = significant at 90%

Table 2
Data Set: ATIME (Aspartame)

5	Parameter	Sample 1	2	SE of Means	5% L.S.D.	t prob (2 - tail)
10	I _{max}	64.35	61.54	0.70	2.16	0.008+
	T _{max}	19.47	19.07	1.22	3.80	0.410
	T _{end}	93.61	95.26	1.81	5.62	0.265
	T _{dec50}	44.96	40.40	3.58	11.15	0.194
	T _{dec10}	74.25	74.08	3.61	11.24	0.487
15	Area	2428.10	2293.07	103.25	321.38	0.187
	T _{decline}	54.78	55.01	3.91	12.16	0.483
Correlation Matrix (d.f. = 141)						
20	I _{max}	1.00				
	T _{max}	-0.20	1.00			
	T _{end}	-0.22	0.20	1.00		
	T _{dec50}	-0.22	0.56	0.51	1.00	
	T _{dec10}	-0.28	0.33	0.84	0.67	1.00
25	Area	0.24	0.38	0.68	0.75	1.00
	T _{decline}	-0.22	-0.03	0.82	0.50	0.62
30	I _{max}					
	T _{decline}					1.00

+ = significant at 95%

Example 2
Sweetness Properties of SPLEND[®]/DHB Solutions

5 This Example illustrates the uniqueness of a
SPLEND[®]-sweetened solution with DHB in accordance with
the present invention. Two solutions, equivalent in
sweetness to a solution containing 10% sugar by weight,
were prepared according to the formulations presented
10 below. The maltodextrin shown in the formulations is a
carrier for sucralose in the sweetener SPLEND[®], and is
controlled for here by its use in both control and DHB-
containing samples.

15		Maltodextrin (g)	Sucralose (g)	DHB (g)	H2O (g)
	SPLEND [®] Control	39.52	0.48	0.0	3500
20	SPLEND [®] w/ DHB sodium salt	39.52	0.48	0.12	3500

56 panelists received a 20 ml serving of each
25 solution. Data were analyzed using Analysis of
Variance and Tukey's HSD tests. Table 3 summarizes the
mean scores.

Table 3

		Scale	SPLENDA® Ctrl.	SPLENDA® w/ DHB	Signif. Diff. 95%
5	Sweetness Acceptability	5P	2.84	3.11	NSD
10	Sweetness Onset	7I	4.29	4.38	NSD
	Sweetness Intensity	7I	4.46	4.48	NSD
15	Aftertaste Intensity	7I	4.59	4.46	NSD
	Bitterness	7I	4.07	3.84	NSD
20	Sweetness Duration	7I	4.73	4.36	yes
	Pleasantness of Aftertaste	7I	3.95	4.21	NSD
25	Overall Liking	9H	4.84	5.73	yes
30	Artificial/ Natural	100P	38.33	52.05	yes

Scale Note. 5P: 5 point line scale; 0 = poor, 3 = good, 5 = excellent. 7I: 7 point line scale; 0 = dislike, 4 = just right, 7 = excellent. 9H: 9 point line scale; 0 = dislike, 5 just right, 9 = like extremely. 100P: 100 point unstructured line scale; 0 = artificial, 100 = natural. NSD: no significant differences. These scales were chosen to give the most accurate assessment of potential differences for each attribute tested.

To a significant degree, the DHB-containing sample demonstrated reduced sweetness duration, more natural taste and greater overall "liking."

5 Example 3
 Baked Apple Bar with SLENDA®/DHB

Recipe Using SLENDA®

- 10 2 cups all purpose flour; 2 teaspoons baking
 powder; 1 teaspoon baking soda; 2 teaspoons ground
 cinnamon; 1 cup reduced-calorie margarine; 1/2 cup egg
 substitute; 2 teaspoons vanilla extract; 1/2 cup
 unsweetened applesauce; 2 cups peeled and grated
15 apples; and 50 g SLENDA®.

Recipe Using SLENDA® With DHB

- 2 cups all purpose flour; 2 teaspoons baking
 powder; 1 teaspoon baking soda; 2 teaspoons ground
20 cinnamon; 1 cup reduced-calorie margarine; 1/2 cup egg
 substitute; 2 teaspoons vanilla extract; 1/2 cup
 unsweetened applesauce; 2 cups peeled and grated
 apples; 50 g SLENDA®; and 0.13 g DHB sodium salt.

- 25 The apple bars were prepared according to the
 following procedures.

1. Preheat oven to 350°F. Spray two 8x8x2" metal
 baking pans with vegetable cooking spray. In a
30 small bowl, stir together flour, baking powder,
 baking soda, and cinnamon. Set aside.
2. In a large mixing bowl with mixer at high speed,
 beat margarine, about 1 minute. Add egg
 substitute and vanilla and blend at high speed for
35 30 seconds.

3. Add SPLENDA® low-calorie sweetener (and DHB when applicable), and beat at medium speed until smooth (~ 90 seconds). Add apple sauce, grated apple, and flour mixture, and beat at low speed until mixed (~ 45 seconds).
4. Spread batter in pan and bake for 40 minutes, or until a wooden pick inserted in the center comes out clean. Cool to room temperature and cut into bars.

10

The SPLENDA®-sweetened apple bars were evaluated in comparison to the samples containing SPLENDA® with DHB. 66 panelists received a serving of each product, and marked their responses on a questionnaire. Data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance and Tukey's HSD tests. Mean scores are shown in Table 4.

Table 4

20

		Scale	SPLENDA® Ctrl.	SPLENDA® w/ DHB	Signif. Diff. 95%
25	Sweetness Acceptability	5P	2.92	3.31	yes
30	Sweetness Onset	7I	3.45	3.86	yes
	Sweetness Intensity	7I	3.69	4.11	yes
35	Naturally Sweet Taste	100P	53.1	65.5	yes
	Aftertaste Intensity	7I	4.05	4.23	NSD
40	Bitterness	7I	4.2	3.97	NSD
	Sweetness Duration	7I	4.17	4.36	NSD

	Pleasantness of Aftertaste	7I.	4.08	4.58	yes
5	Overall Liking	9H	5.58	6.53	yes

Scale Note. 5P: 5 point line scale; 0 = poor, 3 =
 10 good, 5 = excellent. 7I: 7 point line scale; 0 =
 dislike, 4 = just right, 7 = excellent. 9H: 9 point
 line scale; 0 = dislike, 5 just right, 9 = like
 extremely. 100: 100 point; 0 = artificial, 100 =
 natural. NSD: no significant differences.

15

The DHB-added sample was perceived as having a
 significantly faster sweetness onset than that of the
 control sample. The sample with DHB was significantly
 better for initial sweetness perception, sweetness
 20 intensity, natural sweet taste, aftertaste pleasantness
 and overall liking. However, no significant
 differences were noted for bitterness, aftertaste
 intensity, and duration of aftertaste.

Example 4Evaluation of DHB in Sucralose-Sweetened Colas5 A. Background

Sensory research was conducted to determine the effect of DHB on sucralose-sweetened cola (220 ppm). The levels of DHB tested were 12.5, 15 and 17.5 ppm.

10 B. Sensory Testing

Each product was evaluated twice by approximately 28 panelists. Products were evaluated using a computerized sensory data acquisition system. Panelists were seated in individual, partitioned
15 sensory booths to minimize their interaction with each other. Samples were presented one at a time. Each sample was evaluated before the next sample was tasted. Sample presentation order was randomized. Panelists consumed two ounces of refrigerated cola. After
20 completing the evaluation of each sample, panelists were instructed to rinse their mouth thoroughly with a bite of cracker and some bottled water.

25 Evaluated Attributes

<u>Attribute</u>	<u>Scale</u>
30 Overall Liking	1 = Dislike; 9 = Like
Sweetness Amount	1 = Lack; 7 = Abundance
Sweetness Quality	1 = Poor; 5 = Excellent
35 Rate of Sweetness Onset	1 = Slow; 7 = Rapid
Cola Flavor Intensity	0 = None; 100 = Extreme
40	

	Liking for Cola Flavor	1 = Dislike; 9 = Like
5	Bitterness Intensity	1 = None; 5 = Extreme
	Diet/Regular Taste	0 = Diet; 100 = Regular
10	Duration of Sweet Aftertaste	1 = Short; 9 = Long
	Duration of Cola Flavor Aftertaste	1 = Short; 9 = Long
15	Duration of Other Aftertaste	1 = Short; 9 = Long
20	Pleasantness of Aftertaste	1 = Unpleasant; 7 = Pleasant

C. Results

- The products were significantly different for only one attribute. The duration of sweet aftertaste was significantly lower for the cola with 17.5 ppm DHB (sample 4) when compared to the cola with 12.5 ppm of DHB (sample 2). There were no significant differences among products for any of the other attributes.
- Quantitative data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance and Tukey's HSD Test. The data are shown in Table 5.

Table 5Sensory Results

(N = 55) (28 panelists; 2 replicates)

Attribute	Sucral. 220 ppm (1)	Sucral. 220 ppm + 12.5 ppm DHB (2)	Sucral. 220 ppm + 15 ppm DHB (3)	Sucral. 220 ppm + 17.5 ppm DHB (4)	Signif. Diff. at 95%
Liking for Overall Product	5.60	5.78	5.67	5.84	NSD
Amount of Sweetness	3.98	4.18	4.00	3.93	NSD
Sweetness Quality	2.49	2.73	2.78	2.73	NSD
Rate Of Sweetness Onset	3.75	4.00	3.93	3.85	NSD
Cola Flavor Intensity	49.21	49.73	49.0	47.76	NSD
Liking for Cola Flavor	5.56	5.80	5.78	5.62	NSD
Bitterness Intensity	1.89	1.98	1.95	1.95	NSD
Diet/ Regular Taste	36.30	41	46.06	42.55	NSD
Duration of Sweet Aftertaste	4.22	4.38	4.05	3.93	Yes (2 vs 4)
Duration of Cola Flavor Aftertaste	3.64	3.87	3.80	3.78	NSD
Duration of Other Aftertaste	4.44	4.45	4.36	4.31	NSD
Pleasant- ness of Aftertaste	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.27	NSD

Example 5Generic Cola Sweetened with Sucralose at 220 ppmA. Product Formula

5	<u>Ingredients</u>	<u>Syrup Batch Formula</u>
	water	3074.6 g
	cola concentrate	39.0 g
	SPLENDA® brand sweetener;	
	25% liquid concentrate	16.6 g
10	phosphoric acid, 75%	10.8 g
	potassium benzoate	5.0 g
	citric acid, anhydrous	2.0 g
	caffeine, anhydrous	<u>2.0 g</u>
	Total	3150.0 g

15

B. Preparation Procedure(i) syrup

Add ingredients to water in the following order:
potassium benzoate, SPLENDA®, phosphoric acid, citric
20 acid, caffeine and cola concentrate. Mix thoroughly
between additions.

(ii) finished beverage

Mix syrup and carbonated water (one part syrup to
25 five parts carbonated water) and bottle (CO₂ level of
finished beverage = 3.6 volumes). The syrup batch makes
5 gallons of finished beverage.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of improving the sweetness delivery
profile of a sucralose-containing ingestible
5 composition, which comprises incorporating therein
DHB at a DHB:sucralose weight ratio of from about
.01% to about 100%.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the DHB:sucralose
10 weight ratio is from about .1% to about 50%.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the DHB:sucralose
weight ratio is from about 2% to about 10%.
- 15 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the ingestible
composition is a sweetener.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the sweetener
consists essentially of sucralose.
- 20 6. The method of claim 5, wherein the sweetener is
SPLENDA®.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the ingestible
25 composition is a solid or semi-solid food product.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein the ingestible
composition is a beverage.
- 30 9. The method of claim 1, wherein the ingestible
composition is a pharmaceutical composition.

FIG. 1





FIG. 2



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PLI/US 99/13466

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A23L1/236 A23L2/60 A23L1/226

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 A23L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	CA 1 208 966 A (GENERAL FOODS) 5 August 1986 (1986-08-05) claims 1-23	1-9
P, X	WO 99 15032 A (HOLLAND SWEETENER) 1 April 1999 (1999-04-01) claims	1-9
A	WO 93 10677 A (BIORESEARCH) 10 June 1993 (1993-06-10) claims 1,9 & US 5 637 618 A cited in the application	1-9

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document relating to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "S" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

28 October 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/11/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Van Moer, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PLI/US 99/13466

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
CA 1208966	A	05-08-1986	NONE
WO 9915032	A	01-04-1999	NONE
WO 9310677	A	10-06-1993	
		AU 675778 B	20-02-1997
		AU 3225093 A	28-06-1993
		BG 98818 A	28-02-1995
		CA 2117284 A	10-06-1993
		CZ 9401290 A	13-12-1995
		EP 0661932 A	12-07-1995
		FI 942463 A	26-07-1994
		HU 68764 A	28-07-1995
		JP 7504810 T	01-06-1995
		NO 941972 A	14-07-1994
		SK 62094 A	12-04-1995
		US 5631038 A	20-05-1997
		US 5637618 A	10-06-1997
		US 5631294 A	20-05-1997
		US 5643955 A	01-07-1997
		US 5631231 A	20-05-1997
		US 5646122 A	08-07-1997
		US 5703053 A	30-12-1997
		US 5631232 A	20-05-1997
		US 5641811 A	24-06-1997
		US 5643894 A	01-07-1997
		US 5643956 A	01-07-1997
		US 5643941 A	01-07-1997
		US 5631292 A	20-05-1997
		US 5631295 A	20-05-1997
		US 5631299 A	20-05-1997
		US 5639788 A	17-06-1997
		US 5654311 A	05-08-1997
		US 5643945 A	01-07-1997
		US 5665755 A	09-09-1997
		US 5700792 A	23-12-1997
		US 5631272 A	20-05-1997
		US 5650403 A	22-07-1997
		US 5641812 A	24-06-1997
		US 5641795 A	24-06-1997
		US 5631240 A	20-05-1997
		US 5641799 A	24-06-1997
		US 5631252 A	20-05-1997
		US 5866608 A	02-02-1999